## NRTR Quality Assurance Checklist

| Project: | Reviewer 1 | Reviewer 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cover \& Format | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}, \text { or row \# and } \\ & \text { comment at end } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Cover follows template. |  |  |
| Header STIP and County Correct. |  |  |
| Footer Page Numbers Correct. |  |  |
| Document font follows template. |  |  |
| Ensure Table Numbers are consecutive and correct. |  |  |
| 1.0 Introduction |  |  |
| Project description correct. |  |  |
| Bridge Number/Road Name/STIP No/County Correct. |  |  |
| 2.0 Methodology |  |  |
| Dates of fieldwork (T\&E Surveys, JD, etc.) included. |  |  |
| Water resource verification status. |  |  |
| 3.0 Terrestrial Communities |  |  |
| Number of terrestrial communities matches table. |  |  |
| Dominant species in table have correct scientific name. |  |  |
| Individual communities total correctly in Table 1. |  |  |
| 4.0 Protected Species |  |  |
| Biological conclusions for aquatic and bat species are NOT rendered unless a survey memo with a BC has already been completed and provided by the Environmental Coordinator. |  |  |
| IPaC species listed are accurate for the project study area. |  |  |
| Only species listed as Threatened, Endangered, or Proposed in IPaC are listed in the table. |  |  |
| Table footnotes only include relevant abbreviations. |  |  |
| Biological Conclusions in table match the Biological Conclusions in the body of the NRTR. |  |  |
| Biological Conclusions contain: clear description of suitability of observed habitat. |  |  |
| Biological Conclusions contain: survey methodology (walking, etc.?), survey dates, duration, visit to known occurrence. |  |  |
| Biological Conclusions contain: Statement on the results of the survey. |  |  |
| Biological Conclusions contain: Known records from NHP. |  |  |
| BSG Species either states 1-will be provided by BSG, 2- See survey memo dated. |  |  |
| Critical Habitat present within Project Study Area for T,E, or P species is identified. |  |  |
| BGPA: If water bodies present, was survey conducted? If so, is date of survey included? |  |  |
| EFH: If present is table accurate? |  |  |
| 5.0 Water Resources |  |  |
| Drainage Basin is correct. |  |  |
| HUC is correct. |  |  |
| Number of streams in text matches number of streams in table. |  |  |
| Verify Map ID uses stream names or SA, SB for unnamed features. |  |  |
| Lakes with NCDWR Index Nos are in Streams Table, surface waters without names are in Surface Waters Table. |  |  |
| Verify correct Index Number and Best Usage Classification. |  |  |
| Streams in study area or 1 mi. downstream (straight-line distance) of 303d, HQW, WS I\&ll or ORW are identified. |  |  |
| Date of most recent Final 303(d) list is correct. |  |  |
| Number of surface waters in text matches number in surface water table. |  |  |
| Total acreage is correct sum of individual surface waters. |  |  |
| Tributaries to WOTUS are in Surface Water Table, if appropriate. |  |  |

### 6.0 Regulatory Considerations

Stream Table contains: perennial/intermittent, map ID is same as found in previous Table, length, mitigation, buffer, SAM Info.
Total linear feet of stream is correct sum.
Stream temperature is correct.
If no wetlands are present, a definitive statement states as such.
Wetland Table contains: correct nomenclature (WA, WB), NCWAM listed, 404/401 or 401, area.
NCWAM wetland type in Table matches wetland type on NCWAM forms.
Number of wetlands stated in text matches wetlands in table.
Total acreage is correct sum of individual wetlands.
Moratoria: Trout, Primary Nursery Areas, Anadromous fish, identified if appropriate.
If more than one stream, identify to which the moratorium applies.
Reference WRC Scoping letters if appropriate.
Definitive statement if your project is in the area, but moratorium does not apply.
Buffer rule statement or definitive statement there are none.
Section 10/Navigable waters info or definitive statement there are none.
Div 1-3: CAMA information or definitive statement there are none.
Div 1-3: Coastal Barrier Resources System info or definitive statement there are none.
7.0 References

References are accurate for the county/ location and are appropriate.

## Appendices

Appendicies are in the correct order: Figures, Qualifications of Contributors, Survey Reports, JD Letter.

## Figures

Figure 1 is a Vicinity Map.
Figure 1 Contains: TIP, County, Description, North Arrow, Scale, and enough information to locate.
Figure 2 is a Topographic Map.
Figure 2 Contains: Major streets/highways, study area in red.
Figure 3 is a Terrestrial Communities Map.
Figure 3 Contains Study Area in red.
Figure 4 is a Water Resources Map.
Figure 4 Contains: Study area in red, streams are blue ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{I}$ different shade of blue), wetlands are green,
surface waters are present, any delineated $T$ or E plant species boundaries if identified.

| Additional Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Row No. | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Certification

I have reviewed this document for consistency with this checklist and confirmed all of the items have been completed.

| Reviewer: | Name |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reviewer 1: |  |
| Reviewer 2: |  |

Distribution
Once complete, place this Checklist as the first page of the Draft NRTR.
Place the Draft NRTR on SharePoint in the Natural Environment Library: NE Topic: Consultant Submittals. Key NE: None.
If ECAP managed, create ETRACS request for "NRTR/Addendum Review"

